		•
Interview	Summary	

Application No. **09/545,172** 

Applicant(s)

03/54

Kuroda et al.

Examiner

Hen Wong

Art Unit 26/3

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Exertost Chris Kelley (SPE)  (2) Allen Wong (Example)  (4)  Date of Interview Feb 11, 2003
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference cp Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: A record  Identification of prior art discussed: Cher et al and Sn et al of record
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  Applicants discussed the annual field (2/10/03) and the transity explained their interpretation of Suretail as well as the annual figure 7 and all lines 1-6.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required